

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Below Average	Average	N/A
2002	Below Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2003 2004	Average	Average	No

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Our School

Elementary Schools with Students like Ours

37.6

48.4

Mathematics

English/Language Arts

Mathematics

English/Language Arts

Definition of Critical Terms

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND	FAREINI	_	
	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	39	38	46
Percent satisfied with learning environment	88.6%	91.9%	83.7%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	89.2%	78.4%	73.2%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	86.1%	86.5%	78.6%

Trouber Elementary	.00.00.
PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP	
(a / k / a / b)	and
International Tested Controls Officer State of the Control of the	icient dices
Interior tell rests / costs /	10, 731.

All students	Enroll C	ay of the	Teste ologic	alieb/Lar	Sas o	/	Advice profit	Advall St
All students	204					10		
in oluuonio		99.5	34.4	46.6	19.0	N/A	19.0	17.6
Gender	20.	00.0	0111	10.0	10.0	14/7 (10.0	17.0
Male	106	99.1	45.8	43.8	10.4	N/A	10.4	17.6
Female	98	100.0	22.6	49.5	28.0	N/A	28.0	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	14	100.0	16.7	58.3	25.0	N/A	25.0	17.6
African-American	187	99.5	36.0	45.1	18.9	N/A	18.9	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	3	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status								
Not disabled	178	99.4	33.9	45.2	20.8	N/A	20.8	17.6
Disabled	26	100.0	38.1	57.1	4.8	N/A	4.8	17.6
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	204	99.5	34.4	46.6	19.0	N/A	19.0	17.6
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	204	99.5	34.4	46.6	19.0	N/A	19.0	17.6
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	171	99.4	36.9	48.4	14.6	N/A	14.6	17.6
Full-pay meals	33	100.0	21.9	37.5	40.6	N/A	40.6	17.6
				Mathe	matics			
All students	204	100.0	37.6	47.6	9.0	5.8	14.8	15.5
Gender	_,							
Male	106	100.0	43.8	45.8	6.3	4.2	10.4	15.5
Female	98	100.0	31.2	49.5	11.8	7.5	19.4	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	14	100.0	16.7	58.3	16.7	8.3	25.0	15.5
African-American	187	100.0	39.4	46.3	8.6	5.7	14.3	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Hispanic	3	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status								
Not disabled	178	100.0	32.1	51.8	9.5	6.5	16.1	15.5
Disabled	26	100.0	81.0	14.3	4.8	N/A	4.8	15.5
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	204	100.0	37.6	47.6	9.0	5.8	14.8	15.5
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	204	100.0	37.6	47.6	9.0	5.8	14.8	15.5
Socio-Economic Status		100.5	40.0			6.0	40.5	4==

42.0

15.6

45.2

59.4

8.9

3.8

15.6

12.7

25.0

15.5

100.0

100.0

171

33

Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		Enrolle	ald les	legic ologi	NOW POL	Bas. 0/0	Skoji,	Advo olo Profic
		Em 0	21	/ (-			/ 0/0	0/0/
				English	i/Langua	ge Arts		
	Grade 3	73	N/A	39.4	49.3	11.3	N/A	11.3
	Grade 4	56	N/A	16.4	56.4	27.3	N/A	27.3
2002	Grade 5	69	N/A	33.8	54.4	11.8	N/A	11.8
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	68	100.0	31.7	47.6	20.6	N/A	20.6
	Grade 4	72	98.6	44.6	40.0	15.4	N/A	15.4
2003	Grade 5	64	100.0	26.2	52.5	21.3	N/A	21.3
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

				IVI	athematio	S		
	Grade 3	73	N/A	58.9	35.6	5.5	N/A	5.5
	Grade 4	56	N/A	42.9	32.1	14.3	10.7	25.0
8	Grade 5	69	N/A	48.5	41.2	8.8	1.5	10.3
2002	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	68	100.0	46.0	50.8	1.6	1.6	3.2
	Grade 4	72	100.0	20.0	55.4	12.3	12.3	24.6
2003	Grade 5	64	100.0	47.5	36.1	13.1	3.3	16.4
2	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL PROFILE				
C	our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n= 387)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Retention rate	1.4%	Down from 4.2%	2.6%	2.4%
Attendance rate Meeting grade 1 and 2 readiness standards	95.1%	Down from 95.9%	95.5%	95.9%
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for gifted and talented On academic plans	8.2%	Up from 5.3%	5.8%	13.2%
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
On academic probation With disabilities other than speech	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	6.3%	Up from 5.5%	8.3%	8.0%
Older than usual for grade	1.0%	Up from 0.5%	2.6%	1.1%
Suspended or expelled	0.5%	Up from 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 34)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	38.2%	Up from 34.2%	47.6%	50.0%
Continuing contract teachers	64.7%	Down from 65.8%	80.0%	85.3%
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers returning from previous year	74.7%	Up from 58.5%	81.1%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	95.9%	Down from 97.4%	94.9%	95.3%
	\$38,825	Down 0.1%	\$38,825	\$39,909
Prof. development days/teacher	7.9 days	N/R	13.5 days	11.4 days
School				
Principal's years at school	5.0	Up from 4.0	3.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio	15.8 to 1	Down from 16.3 to 1	17.2 to 1	18.9 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	88.7%	Down from 92.7%	88.5%	89.7%
	\$6,505	Up 12.7%	\$6,512	\$5,892
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	73.1%	Up from 69.2%	65.6%	66.6%
	Good	Up from Fair	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	64.7%	Down from 99.0%	99.0%	99.0%
	yes	N/A	yes	yes
			-	

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Lighty gualified to oboug in high payarty cabacle	N1/A	N1/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviati	ons tor N	/lissing	Data
------------	-----------	----------	------

N/A Not Applicable N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insuffice	nt Sample
---	-----------

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Webber Elementary School, located in the lower region of Richland County, is a community school of nearly 500 students in 4-year-old child development through fifth grade. Our school is the pride of rural Eastover, SC.

The 2002-03 school year for Webber brought about both achievements and challenges for the school, the students and the staff. We celebrated our students' accomplishments in competitions in art, writing, math and science. We were most proud of having the Area One Mental Math 5th grade champion at our school. Again, this year there were student winners in the USC Regional Science Fair. One group of girls earned an honorable mention in the medical division for their project entitled "Why Does Our School's Water Taste so Bad?"

Our after-school program was highlighted and recognized at conferences in Kiawah Island, SC; Atlanta, Georgia; and twice in Washington, DC, as an outstanding, comprehensive, extended-day enrichment program.

Because of an only marginal gain in student achievement, we were faced with the challenge of identifying those students who were failing to progress and attacking those weaknesses with strong instructional strategies in the classroom. In looking at what worked in the past, the data pointed out that we must maintain our emphasis on writing school-wide. Writing across the content areas and reading comprehension were our focuses throughout the year.

We continued to make the most of our instructional day with 90-minute math blocks and more than 120 minutes of Reading/English Language Arts daily. Title I funds provided the school with the resources needed to fully implement these and other instructional strategies, such as Accelerated Reader, Sunshine Math, a pilot class of Accelerated Math and our successful after-school tutorial program.

The support of parents, PTA, SIC and our business partners, again proved to be a valuable asset to our school. Through that joint effort of the school and community stakeholders, we successfully completed our school's "Self-Study" to continue our status as an accredited school with the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools accreditation process. Additionally, that support was shown by the overwhelming community participation in all school activities and functions.

Our after-school program's Parent University meetings continue to be well attended and of interest to the community. With the inclusion of an after-school daycare program, provided by the Richland County Recreation Department, and a weekly evening GED program, we met our goal of becoming a 10-hour-a-day, full-service community school.

Our challenge for the new year is to continue to center our focus on school improvement and student achievement by working hand in hand with parents and community to reach our goal of excellence in teaching and learning at Webber Elementary School in Eastover, SC.

Mrs. Dorothy G. Ham, Principal.

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.